SBC Corporate Risk Register APPENDIX 1

Report Date	06 Sep 2019
Risk Status	Open
Comparison Date	In the past 3 Month(s)
Risk Level	
Control Status	Existing
Action Status	Outstanding

All 5 year plan outcomes										
Risk Ref	Risk Title	Risk Owner	Description & Consequence	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority	Latest Review Date	
CR 8	Ensuring the effectiveness of resilience plans/ continuity plans for key locations and services.	Dean Trussler	Description The Council's business continuity plan was last reviewed in 2013. The internal audit report in 2016 would provide no assurance that adequate controls are in place. The BCP has not been tested through desk top or simulation exercises. Consequence Failure to have an up to date BCP places the Council at risk of being unable to continue its business should a serious event cause	I = 4 L = 6 24	Dedicated Business Continuity Officer External assistance to help develop the plan There is a documented process for undertaking business impact analysis and risk assessments at Service, Directorate and Council-wide level	I = 4 L = 5 20	To conclude the delivery programme for implementing Business Continuity Management throughout the authority Person Responsible: Dean Trussler To be implemented by: 31 Jul 2019 A formal programme of business continuity training will be developed and delivered to staff covering, but not limited to; • The roles and contributions of staff to the effectiveness of BCM within the Council Person Responsible: Dean Trussler To be implemented by: 31 Jul 2019	I = 2 L = 3 6	05 Sep 2019	

All 5 year plan outcomes Risk Ref **Risk Title** Risk Owner **Description & Consequence** Inherent Risk **Risk Control** Residual Risk **Action Required** Target Risk **Latest Review** Priority Priority Priority Date C&C 10 Termination Neil Wilcox Description I = 4 L = 5I = 3 L = 3I = 3 L = 305 Sep 2019 Council-wide arvato group of arvato The Council leadership have decided 20 9 Person Responsible: set up contract to terminate the contract with arvato To be implemented by: w.e.f. 1st Nov 2019. and bring the Internal Governance set up services back in house. Project group set up The original termination date of the contract was 2022. RAID log jointly managed The Council now has to TUPE staff back into the council and set up Strategic Meetings every appropriate management structures. month to ensure business as usual Reduction in income collection arvato indifference to fulfilling the terms of the existing contract. Late payment to creditors Halting of various ICT projects with impacts on other major projects Opportunity to reshape the delivery of key inward and outward facing services Damage to reputation

All 5 year plan outcomes										
Risk Ref	Risk Title	Risk Owner	Description & Consequence	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority	Latest Review Date	
F&A 27	Failure to ensure financial sustainability.	Neil Wilcox	Description The revenue support grant is declining whilst the population in the Borough is growing. In addition there is an increasing demand for the Council's Services. Efficiency savings still need to be made to reduce expenditure, whilst the financial sustainability of the Council in the longer term is reliant on increased levels of income being generated by attracting new businesses to the area. There is limited ability to increase income due to uncertainties over BREXIT and the impact this may have on the Council's ability to raise income. Consequence Failures or delays in the Slough Urban Regeneration programme is likely to produce an extended period of lower than expected income which will in turn impact the quality of services that can be delivered and result in a failure to meet the corporate objectives. Failures or delays in the Slough Urban Regeneration programme is likely to produce an extended period of lower than expected income which will in turn impact the quality of services that can be delivered and result in a failure to meet the corporate objectives. Reputational Damage		Assessment of the impact of Brexit on Council finances Budget Monitoring Reports to Members, Corporate Management Team, Departmental Management Teams External experts used to carry out financial analysis. 5 Year Plan in place Medium term financial strategy	I = 4 L = 2 8	On-going system of budget monitoring by accountants with DMT's Person Responsible: Barry Stratfull To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2020 Medium Term Financial Strategy is produced each year Person Responsible: Barry Stratfull To be implemented by: 31 Mar 2020	I = 4 L = 2 8	05 Sep 2019	

All 5 year plan outcomes Risk Ref **Risk Title** Risk Owner Inherent Risk **Risk Control** Residual Risk **Action Required** Target Risk **Description & Consequence Latest Review** Priority **Priority** Priority Date Gov 2 Ensuring the Neil Wilcox Description I = 3 L = 5I = 3 L = 3I = 2L = 205 Sep 2019 on-going review of HR Reviewed Code of Conduct Council's Continued changes in personnel / 15 policies for 2018 internal vacancy / service change have Person Responsible: Surjit resulted in loss of corporate memory Reviewed Constitution control environment and deterioration in the control framework including adherence with is fit for future. To be implemented by: 31 RSM Internal Audit provides policy, systems, process and Mar 2020 assurance procedures. This can result in Implementation of Agresso There is an on-going action decisions being made without a firm has increased controls of annual reviewing the policy footing or decisions being Constitution made often late or without sufficient Updated financial procedure due process etc. This is applicable to rules Person Responsible: Sushil both officers and members. Thobhani To be implemented by: 31 Consequence May 2020 The Council is therefore at greater risk of being challenged or making a poor decision with sub optimal outcomes.

Our people will become healthier and will manage their own healthcare and support needs Risk Ref **Risk Title** Risk Owner **Description & Consequence** Inherent Risk **Risk Control** Residual Risk **Action Required** Target Risk **Latest Review** Priority **Priority** Priority Date A&C 8 Ensuring that Alan Sinclair Description I = 3 L = 4I = 2 L = 3I = 2 L = 305 Sep 2019 A voting member of the the Frimley Slough needs to deliver a sustainable 12 6 Person Responsible: 6 board Integrated Health & Care system To be implemented by: Care System Reports are sent to the There are 13 partners on the Board Wellbeing board and to (ICS) reaches a satisfactory including acute hospital trusts, Scrutiny Panel agreement community trusts and CCGs. There is a Wellbeing Board between all alliance the partners. Consequence Failure of sufficient funds to be transferred to the Council to provide the social care will result in the Council not agreeing with the consequential reputational damage or the Council being put under greater financial pressure.

Slough does not get enough focus to deliver what it needs to deliver

Our residents will have access to good quality homes Target Risk Risk Ref **Risk Title** Risk Owner **Description & Consequence** Inherent Risk **Risk Control** Residual Risk **Action Required** Latest Review Priority Priority Priority Date 78 Health & Colin Moone Description I = 4 L = 2I = 2 L = 2I = 2 L = 105 Sep 2019 Coordinate whose Ensure that regular safety Safety in if Properties are not being checked repsonsibility it is to conduct risks are being conducted e.g. Gas Certs etc., then there could Properties and that we are liaising with these checks - and follow up be a detrimental effect to the the correct departments. Person Responsible: Colin department as well as the Also monitoring this Moone organisation. regularly and putting the To be implemented by: 31 customers first if there are Currently in the process of Jul 2019 any safety issues. Making checking/inspecting safety risks of sure that we understand the buildings. May be a high risk of fires procedure and that it is as we SBC are still investigating. consistent when dealing with Emergency Planning. Consequence Reputational damage Having a dedicated Supply Injury to clients/users Manager/Property Acquisitions who will be responsible to these checks. They will conduct checks on a regular basis.

Slough will attract, retain and grow businesses and investment to provide jobs and opportunities for our residents

Risk Ref	Risk Title	Risk Owner	Description & Consequence	Inherent Risk Priority	Risk Control	Residual Risk Priority	Action Required	Target Risk Priority	Latest Review Date
D&SIT 1	information Governance and GDPR	Simon Pallett	Description GDPR came in May 2018 There needs to be a corporate and local response to the implementation of GDPR The section that deal with Information Governance lacks resource As the "go-live" date for GDPR approaches this has meant that workers who understand GDPR and how to mitigate the effects are becoming more valuable to all sectors, making it harder to fill posts with responsibility for GDPR Consequence If there is not an adequate response	I = 3 L = 4 12	Initial data mapping completed by RSM The Corporate Addendum has been accepted. Vacant post being temporarily covered	I=3L=3 9	advertise Data Protection officer post Person Responsible: Simon Pallett To be implemented by: 31 Oct 2019	I = 3 L = 2 6	05 Sep 2019
			to GDPR there is a chance that there may fines, criticism from the information Commissioner Damage to reputations Civil Claims for damages						